Mysore

Confdl

REV(1950) Department.

RT SERIES.

G.O. NO. 363

Dated 26/5/52

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public servants - Retiring by Rotation. Sri B Ramamurthy Inclusion in the approved list - Petition Rejected

Confidential

Revenue Department
Subject.

Ramamurthi, Sri B. - (Bellary) - Acting Deputy Tahsildar - Inclusion in the list of Tahsildars - Petition - Submitted.

Ref:No.D.3096/50-2(C).

Station: Madras. Dated 12th April 1950.

From

Sri A.F. Lascarenhas, I.A.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sri V.N.Kudva, I.C.S., Commissioner of Commercial Taxe
Sri W.R.S.Satthianathan, I.C.S., Commr. of Land Revenu

Read: Petition from Sri B. Ramamurthi, Dated 3--3--1950.
From the Special Officer for Rent Reduction, L.Dis. No.2794/50, dated 24-3-50.

REFERENCE:

In his annual proposals for the inclusion of names in the approved list of Tahsildars for the Bellary district for 1949, the Collector recommended the name of Sri B. Ramamurthi. The Board examined his claims and ordered that he should wait. Relevant extracts of the remarks of the Board and a copy of the nomination roll submitted by the Collector are enclosed.

2. The Collector, Bellary is requested to submit direct to the Government, the personal file of Sri B. Ramamurthi.

(Sd) .....
for Secretary.

To the Secretary to the Government, Revenue Department, Madras. (With extracts and petition).
" the Collector of Bellary (2-para only).

Revenue Dept.

To U.S.

Submitted for perusal.

The receipt of the perusal file from the Collector may be awaited till 28-4-50.

x x x
19-4-50.

LIST OF PERSONS WHO ARE CONSIDERED FIT FOR APPOINTMENT AS TAHSILDAR IN THE DISTRICT OF BELLARY DURING THE YEAR 1949.

---

1. Number.	V.	
2. Name of the Officer.	Sri B. Ramamurthy.	
3. Caste.	Brahmin - Hindu.	
4. Present appointment and pay therefore.	Taluk Supply Officer, Bellary. Pay Rs.160/-	
5. Age:	30 years - Date of birth 29-12-1919	
6. Total service	Seven years.	
7.		

SERVICE

7. In qualifying grades in the case of candidates for the post of Tahsildar.	Forest Panchayat Deputy Tahsildar.	26-9-46 to 7-11-46.
	S.S.M., Royadrug	15-11-46 to 22-1-47
	Spl.Dy.Tahsildar for wells	23-1-47 to 9-6-47.
8. As Revenue Inspector in the case of candidates for the post of Dy. Tahsildar.	S.S.M.Bellary	14-7-47 to 16-3-48
	T.S.O.Bellary	17-3-48 to 20-5-49
		8-6-49 to date.
	Does not arise.	
9. General education qualifications.	B.A., B.L.	
10. Special tests passed with date of passing.	Does not arise.	
11. Conduct and Character.	Good.	
12. Whether possessed landed property within the limits of the District.	No.	
13. Whether the Officer has previously exercised magisterial powers for a period not less than 6 months.	Yes.	
14. Whether physically fit and able to get about the country (for a Deputy Tahsildar) whether able to ride (for Tahsildar)	Yes.	
15. R e m a r k s. ...	He did not take part in the strike. He started as a Probationary Revenue Inspector. Only his divisional sheet is here. His memorial for inclusion in the Tahsildars' list even last year is pending with the Board. His case deserves special consideration by virtue of his start as a probationary Revenue Inspector.	

A

He has worked in the Deputy Tahsildars' cadre for 3 years. The District Supply Officer has observed that he is young, energetic, intelligent, hard-working, tactful and thoroughly honest. In fact, he considers him the best of the Taluk Supply Officers in the district. In view of his good work, I also recommended him for appointment as Special Deputy Tahsildar for rent reduction work in the district and orders have been received today.

He is a B.A.B.L. I do not know whether the Government would take him over to the judiciary. But as he is now working under,me, I strongly recommend his case for inclusion.

(Sd) N.V. Sugavanam,
13-12-49.
Collector.

(True Copy)

x x x x x
for Secretary.

ME:26.6.

Extract from the Board's notes file for the year 1949 for Bellary District.

Cases recommended by the Collector - Brahmins.

3. Sri B. Ramamurthi (Probationary Revenue Inspector) B.A.B.L. - Age 29 years - Working as Taluk Supply Officer, Bellary - Technically qualified. Name not proposed before. Did not take part in the strike. The nomination roll and the personal file may be perused.

Note by Secretary:- Is less than 30 years. But he strated as Probationary Revenue Inspector and has a very good record. The community (B) is greatly over-represented. For orders whether he may be included as a special case.

(Sd) A.F.M.
13-1-50.

Include
(Sd) V.N.K.
17-1-50.

I should say 'wait'. Please see my note against (5)

(Sd) S.R.

Note against (5):

I feel that only one Brahmin need be included since over-representation. This man deserves it more than (3) who is extremely young and can afford to 'wait'. The recent G.O. wants weightage for age.

(Sd) S.R.
17/1

Note by M-3:

(5) & (**) pages 7 & 9: I agree with M-1(Mr.Ranganatha These two are no doubt suitable but can wait as the community is clearly over-represented.

(Sd) W.R.S.S.
18/1/50.

Very well
(Sd) V.N.K.
19-1-50.

(True Copy)

x x x
for Secretary.

ME:26.6.

19/1/7

To

The Secretary to the Government,
Revenue Department,
Government of Madras.

Through

The Special Officer for Rent Reduction, in Estates,
Board of Revenue,
M a d r a s

and

The Secretary, Board of Revenue, M a d r a s.

Sir,

Sub: Ramamurthy (Sri.B) - Acting Deputy Tahsildar - Bellary - Petition for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars - Submitted.

I, Ramamurthy (Sri.B) acting Deputy Tahsildar, Bellary humbly beg to submit the following revision petition to the Government against the non-inclusion of my name by the Board of Revenue in the list of Deputy Tahsildars selected in 1949 for promotion to the category of Tahsildars in the Revenue Subordinate Service, and, the inclusion of the names of the following acting Deputy Tahsildars who have no claim to preference to me on any of the laid down in the Statutory Rules, governed by the Revenue subordinate service vide Rule 30(b) (1) of Part II General Rules under Madras Provincial subordinate serve rules.

Name of the Junior/Tahsildar, Dy. included in 1949:

***

1. Sri C. Ramalinga Reddy.
2. Sri P. Samual.
3. Sri T.K. Jayarama Ayyar.
4. Sri T. Hosakerappa.
5. Sri K. Rahmathulla Khan.

in 1948.

1. Sri K. Narayanappa.

Details are enclosed in a separate sheet.
All the above candidates are by far junior to me both in the Upper division of the Ministerial Service and the Deputy Tahsildar's category in the Revenue Subordinate Service, as will be readily and kindly seen from the particulars noted in a separate sheet against their names.

I was appointed as Temporary Deputy Tahsildar earlier than the above mentioned candidates as I was considered to be more suitable than they were to be appointed as such, and to my knowledge they have not since shown any conspicuous merit and ability more than I have done to be promoted to the Tahsildars category earlier than myself. In the absence of other imaginable & pleased to prefer them to the me on the ground that they are senior to me in age and total service in the department. I respectfully submit that the statutory rules do not provide for preferential. Promotion of Dy. Tahsildars to the category of Tahsildars on the ground.

All the superior officers under whom I have served in various capacities have appreciated my merit, ability, character and conduct and the Collector of Bellary in his offic

proceeding Rc.No.1781/49 dated 16-8-1949 awarded to me a Good Service entry in connection with the work of procurement of foodgrains in a difficult time. Thus the above five juniors cannot be deemed to possess claims superior to mine on the ground of conspicuous merit and ability which alone justifies the promotion of a junior in preference to his senior to a higher category in the same service. I was unfortunate at the time of the approval of the names of Dy. Tahsildars for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars in 1948. The Board of Revenue did not include my name while including my Junior Sri K. Narayanappa in that years Tahsildar's list. On a revision petition submitted by me, the gracious Government were pleased to instruct the Board in G.O.Rt.No.1194 dated 22-11-1949 to consider my case along with the proposals that were due to the Government within the next month i.e. in December 1949. I venture to imagine that the Government were not pleased to examine then my case on merits in view of the fact that the proposals for 1949 fell due about the time my petition came up for consideration and disposal. I feel that the Revenue Board was not pleased to consider my case as instructed by the Government in the G.O. quoted above. The aspect of my entire future has become very gloomy and disheartening now that the Board of Revenue has included in the list for 1949 five of my juniors adopting when they included a junior of mine in the list of 1948. of selection which is inconsistent with the Statutory Rules, and which it also adopted

In this connection I respectfully represent that though I am a B.A.,B.L., I did not choose to commence my service as Sub Magistrate in the new wing of the Judicial Department that is being organised now in the certain belief, based legitimately on statutory rules, that I would have promotion to the Tahsildar's category very soon, where-as Mr.T. Hosakarappa who opted to judiciary was included in the list of Tahsildar for 1949. There is no category corresponding to Tahsildar's category in the new Judicial branch and it appeared to me that a Sub-Magistrate would have no chance of becoming a Munsiff Magistrate as the Government had not framed the Statutory Rules to govern the appointments and conditions of Service in the new Judicial department. Even now the prospets of a Sub-Magistrate are very doubtful as recruitment to Munsiff-Magistrates and Sub Divisional Magistrate's ranks is going to be made by direct recruitment. I felt that, being a law graduate of 7 years standing with wide experience as a Revenue Officer and a sub-Magistrate I deserved as much as a Junior, in-experienced and perhaps briefless, Vakil to be drafted to the new Service as Munsiff Magistrate or Sub Divisional Magistrate and not to work as his subordinate for an indefinite period of years.

I was recruited as Probationary Revenue Inspector. I humbly invite the attention of the Government to the preamble to the G.O.No:2352 of the 17th October 1941 according to which the object of the scheme for the recruitment of Probation Revenue Inspectors is to secure men with high qualifications (for the Madras Subordinate Service) and if they prove to be efficient to promote them rapidly to the Madras Subordinate Service, I humbly invite the attention of the Government to rules 30(b)(1) of General rules Part II under Madras Provincial and Subordinate Service Rules. Madras Subordinate Service comprises two categories and promotion from the lower to the higher category shall be on the ground of Seniority, merit and ability of the qualified candidates being the same. I am very confident that at the most the juniors included in the Tahsildar's lists of 1948 and 1949 can be equal to me in merit apart from a consideration of the fact that I am by far superior to them in general educational qualification which should count

Contd.....

for Superior merit. I am not however claiming preference to any ~~xxx~~ of my juniors on this ground. The policy under which officer are recruited to the I.A.S., appears to be the same as that under which Pro. Rev. Inspectors are directly recruited, namely to have young, energetic and efficient officers in the Revenue Subordinate Service of which the rank of Tahsildar is a category only. Persons recruited as clerks in the first instance will naturally bec seniors in age and total service to those recruited as Revenue Inspectors direct by the time they complete Probation as Dy. Tahsildars, though those recruited as Revenue Inspectors may have completed probation as Dy. Tahsildars earlier, and if they are to be preferred on the ground of seniority in age and total service in the department, batch after batch of such men would get over the latter in being promoted to the category of Tahsildars. This, I humbly submit, is not consistent with the statutory Rules and I am assured that the policy of the Government will not be inconsistent with the statutory Rules framed by them and that the serious injustice done to me by the Board of Revenue will be noticed and remedied by the gracious government. I therefore hope very legitimately and without any doubt that the Government will sympathetically judge my claims on merits in the exercise of their Savings Revisional jurisdiction, and, grant me my rightful place in the Tahsildars list over the six junior Deputy Tahsildars included in 1948 and 1949 Tahsildars lists.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Yours faithfully,

(Sd) B. Ramamurthy.

Address:

B. Ramamurthy, B.A.,B.L.,
Special Deputy Tahsildar,
for Rent Reduction in Estates.
B e l l a r y.

(TRUE COPY)

Submitted to the Speicial Officer for Rent Reduction in Estates, Madras.

E N C L O S U R E.

	General Educational Qualification.	Substantial appointment.	Rank as per substantive appointment.	Ranks per gradation list in Dy.Tahrs. cadre.	Total permanent service.	Total service as Dy. Tahsildar. (approved
	---	---	---	---		
The petitioner Sri B.Ramamurthi	B.A.,B.L.,	Upper Divn. Clerk.	46	30	7 yrs.	3y 6 m
Junior Dy.Tahr. included in 1948 Tahsildar's list.						
K. NARAYANAPPA	S.S.L.C.	Lower Division Clerk.	162	32	8 yrs.	3 y 6 m
Junior Dy.Tahr. included in 1949 Tahsildar's list.						
C.RAMALINGA REDDY	Inter.	Dito.	146	34	7 yrs.	3 y 6 m
P. SAMUEL	S.S.L.C.	Dito.	188	38	7 yrs.	3 y 5 m
T.K.JAYARAMA AYER,	B.A.,	Dito.	168	39	10 yrs.	3 y 5 m
T.HOSAKERAPPA,	L.L.B.,	Dito.	187	41	7 yrs.	3 y
K.RAHMATULLA KHAN,	S.S.L.C.	Dito.	144	42	11 yrs.	3 Y.

(TRUE COPY)

Revenue Department.

From
Sri G. Rami Reddy, B.A.,
Collector, Bellary.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Fort St.
George, M a d r a s.

Confidential dated 19--4--1950.

Sir,

Sub: Ramamurthi Sri B. - Bellary district - acting Deputy Tahsildar - Inclusion in the list of Tahsildars - petition - personal file - submitted.

Ref: Board's Confdl.Ref:No.D.3095/50-2(c) D/12-4-50.

As instructed by the Board, I submit herewith in a sealed cover the personal file of Sri B. Ramamurthi.

x x x
Collector,
Bellary.
Camp: Alur.

Copy to the Secretary the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, Madras.

(THE COPY)

Government of Madras
Abstract
**

Public Servants - Bellary - Deputy Tahsildars - Sri B. Ramamurthi - Inclusion in approved list. - Petition - Rejected.

Revenue Department

G.O.Rt.No.363 Dated 26th May 1950.
Read the following:-

G.O.1194 Revenue (Routine) dated 22-11-1949.
From Sri B. Ramamurthi dated 3-3-1950.
From the Board of Revenue Ref:D.3096/50-2(C) D/12-4-50.

ORDER:

The Government see no reason to interfere on behalf of the petitioner. The petition is rejected.

K.M. Unnithan,
Secretary to Government.

To Sri B. Ramamurthi,
through the Board of Revenue (L.R.)
~~Textha~~
Copy to the Board of Revenue (L.R.) with P;F. in Sealed Cover.

(TRUE COPY)

ME:27.6.